

THE OREGON “PANDEMIC” “EMERGENCY”

YOU’VE BEEN CONNED

By Francis Steffan
AVRN.tv

I would like to start by defining a term that will be used throughout this article, “pandemic.”

A “Pandemic” is simply an “epidemic” that has crossed national borders.

Therefore “epidemic” also needs defined, to wit: Endemic; refers to the constant presence and/or usual prevalence of a disease or infectious agent in a population within a geographic area. Hyperendemic refers to persistent, high levels of disease occurrence. Epidemic refers to an increase, often sudden, in the number of cases of a disease above what is normally expected in that population in that area. (*Centers for Disease Control; Lesson 1: Introduction to Epidemiology; Section 11: Epidemic Disease Occurrence; Level of disease.*) (*Principles of Epidemiology in Public Health Practice, Third Edition, an Introduction to Applied Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Lesson 1: Introduction to Epidemiology, [Section 11: Epidemic Disease Occurrence](#), Epidemic Disease Occurrence, Level of disease, which can be found here*):

<https://www.cdc.gov/csels/dsepd/ss1978/lesson1/section11.html>

It is important to notice that “epidemic” is determined based on a preset expectation of whoever is deciding a circumstance is “epidemic,” it is not based on fact or actual circumstance, but only an expectation. Therefore, technically, if there was zero flu for two years, like, during the “Covid fraud,” but, then, all of a sudden, there was five cases, it could by definition, and dishonest interpretation, be deemed an “epidemic”, just so you know.

The deviants that like to be called “the elite” use scary words to manipulate the population, by fear, because the vast majority really don't know what very many words actually mean, like the idiotic Representative from New York that thinks “literal” means the voices in her head.

The reason for this is that the public (government) school system, where, for decades now, your children are not taught to look up words they do not know the meaning of, but, instead, are taught, to just skip over them, and figure it out by the “context” of the surrounding words. This is what we, naturally, do, and it is not a critical problem for those with an already developed vocabulary base, but for children with a very limited vocabulary base, it has been devastating.

They look like they read, they sound like they read, but they are parrots that have little comprehension of the words they read, write, speak, or hear, and, this, severely, disables their ability to comprehend anything but the most simple written or verbalized concepts, like fear, anger, and outrage.

I say all of this, to justify my placement of definitions through this article and to lower your expectations when trying to explain to others what you understand to be true.

For most people that reject the truth, it is not a function of evil on their part. It is ignorance, and the arrogance to believe that, “if I don't understand it, then it can't be true, because my communist facilitator tells me, I'm smart”.

On the other hand, it is my belief, that the deviants calling themselves “elite”, are evil to the core, possessed by evil, grown from the root of their love of money.

You cannot compromise with evil, you can not negotiate with evil, evil must be defeated or it will defeat you. Everyone is not your enemy, however, some, are, and it is critical to your survival to properly identify them.

Nevertheless, this article is not a call to action. This article is to notify you to what has been, and, is, occurring in Oregon. What you will do about it, is your decision, alone.

In Oregon, a declaration of “emergency” is only authorized by statute, not by the State's constitution, and that particular statute that, purportedly, authorizes the current ongoing, never-ending, Statewide, “pandemic” “emergency”, is found at Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) 401.165, and the controlling definition of “emergency” applicable to ORS 401.165, is found at ORS 401.025.

ORS 401.165(1): “The Governor may declare a state of emergency by proclamation at the request of a county governing body or after determining that an emergency has occurred or is imminent.”

ORS 401.165 in numerous sections details the requirements of counties and others requesting an “emergency” declaration. Certifications and assessments are required of them however, nothing is required of the governor except to “determine” that an “emergency” has occurred.

To discover what criteria is required to make such a sweeping, liberty killing determination we need to examine th

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mLpU_sbmyzwe controlling definition of “emergency” found at ORS 401.025.

Understand, without some legislatively enacted criteria guiding the “determination” that an “emergency” has occurred the statute would be vague, ambiguous and arbitrary, which would render it lawfully void.

However, we have ORS 401.025, which, purportedly, defines “emergency.”

ORS 401.025: (1)“Emergency” means a human created or natural event or circumstance that causes or threatens widespread loss of life, injury to person or property, human suffering or financial loss, including but not limited to:

As you can see, ANY circumstance or event that is claimed as a source of “emergency” MUST cause or “threaten” “widespread.”

Neither “widespread” or “threatens” is defined by applicable statute, which renders ORS 401.165 vague and ambiguous, and, therefore, unconstitutional, void, and enforced in violation of any elected officials’ Oath of Office, rendering them, as, acting in their private capacity.

Why is a law void if the definitions applicable to a statute are vague or in this case non-existent? Imagine sitting with ten of your friends and one of them states, “I think murderers should be executed.” Some may agree with the statement, however, some, may not, and, some, may, even, feel threatened, based on their own particular fears and perceptions.

Arbitrary: Not supported by fair, solid, and substantial cause, and without reason given. *Black's Law Dictionary, Treloar v. Bigge, L. R. 9 Exch. 155.*

Ambiguous: A phrase that is not clearly understood. The different interpretations can lead to confusion. This can be done on purpose or because there is a general lack of knowledge.

Vagueness: Uncertainty. 2. Certainty is required in contracts, wills, pleadings, judgments, and indeed in all the acts on which courts have to give a judgment, and if they be vague, so as not to be understood, they are in general invalid. 5 B. & C. 583; 1 Russ. & M. 116 1 Ch. Pract. 123. A charge of "frequent intemperance" and "habitual indolence" are vague and too general. 2 Mart. Lo. Rep. N. S. 530. See Certainty; Nonsense; Uncertainty.

Laws that purportedly pertain to people must be understood by those people or those people are not given an opportunity of informed consent and every form of governance foisted upon the people must be consented to by the people.

Oregon Constitution Article I, Section 1: We declare that all men, when they form a social compact are equal in right: that all power is inherent in the people, and all free governments are founded on their authority...

Declaration of Independence: Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed...

The Ninth Amendment gives force of law to the rights and protections stated within the Declaration of Independence.

Ninth Amendment to the US Constitution: The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall not be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.

All this to say, laws must clearly state, without vagueness or ambiguity, that which is, specifically, required, or forbidden, in particular, and, what is clearly, without vagueness or ambiguity, the consequences of non-compliance with the law. Without this, there can be no consent, without consent, there is no authority.

When vague, ambiguous and/or arbitrary laws are imposed and enforced it is tyranny.

Tyranny, in the Greco-Roman world, an autocratic form of rule in which one individual exercised power, without, any, legal restraint. In antiquity, the word *tyrant* was not necessarily pejorative, and signified the holder of absolute political power. In its modern usage, the word *tyranny* is usually pejorative and connotes the illegitimate possession or use of such power.

Tyrant: a ruler who has unlimited power over other people, and uses it unfairly and cruelly. [<https://dictionary.cambridge.org/us/dictionary/english/tyrant/>]

If anyone is wondering, when I use the words “tyrant” or “tyranny” I absolutely mean them in the most pejorative context available.

The fact that a required element of “emergency,” “widespread,” is not defined, renders the definition of “emergency,” vague, ambiguous, and arbitrary, in other words, unconstitutional and void.

The US Supreme Court and the US Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals says the same with authority in: *Johnson v. United States*, 576 U.S. ____ (2015), *Dimaya v. Lynch*, 803 F. 3d 1110 (2015) and *Sessions v. Dimaya*, 584 U.S. ____ (2018)

This is how Oregonians have been conned into believing the tyrant, Governor Kate Brown, has any lawful authority to do what she has, and, is, doing, to the people of Oregon. But, wait! There's more!

Some of you, like certain, communist, racist, sexist, U.S. District Court judges, may say, “well, okay, but, it's a highly contagious and deadly virus, that the tyrant must be allowed to protect us, from, and help us, recover”.

I may even agree, if there, was, in reality, a “highly contagious and deadly virus” floating around Oregon that the tyrant had the means to end, but I doubt it.

You are the only one that can keep you healthy, just as you are the only one who can keep yourself relatively safe, only speaking in secular terms.

So, what about the irrational belief that “Covid-19” is a “highly contagious and deadly virus?”

If you notice, the government and their minions push infection rates like parrots on crack and rarely mention deaths. Do you ever wonder why?

The CDC has stated in its deauthorization of the PCR test, the test Oregon has relied on to report its, so-called, Covid “infections,” that the PCR test could not distinguish between a common cold infection, a normal yearly flu infection, and the, so-called, Covid-19 infection. There is also the fact that the PCR test can be adjusted to produce more positive cases or less positive cases of Covid-19, at the discretion of the lab and their owners.

This brings into serious question the accuracy and honesty of the infection rate statistics, and therefore into question, whether there was ever a pandemic, at all, in Oregon.

While perhaps, in the most liberal sense, Oregon “could” be involved in a “pandemic,” (remember the definitions, I cited, in the beginning of this article, from the CDC’s own website), if the collection of the data concerning mere “infection” was legitimate or dependable in any way, which, it is now evidenced, by the CDC, it is not.

The numbers concerning “infection” rates are suspect, as the test that created them has been deauthorized, and exposed as a misused medical device that was never designed as a diagnostic tool and can not even differentiate between cold, flu and “Covid-19.” Do you believe they did not know this a year, or more, ago?

The CDC states that 75% of those who have died from Covid-19 have four or more comorbidities, meaning, it is only a small and identifiable group in danger of becoming seriously ill and/or dying from “Covid.”

Statistics from the State of Oregon itself demonstrate that there is not, nor has been, a deadly “pandemic” in Oregon.

The Oregon Health Authority, Center for Health Statistics, publishes, that the year, 2015, had a 3.8% higher death rate, per 100,000, that was present at the peak of the so-called “pandemic”, in the year, 2020. This is true, even, with, the fact, that the death numbers are “presumed” and people are being counted as a “Covid death”, when Covid was, not, the cause, as in a car wreck.

Here are the deaths per 100,000 numbers published by State of Oregon for the last six years:

- In 2015 there were 201.7 deaths, per 100,000,
- In 2016, there were 198.1 deaths, per 100,000,
- In 2017, there were 195.2 deaths, per 100,00,
- In 2018, there were 194.5 deaths, per 100,000,
- In 2019, there were 190.8 deaths, per 100,000, and,
- In 2020, there were 194.2 deaths, per 100,000.

The State of Oregon's own statistics, evidenced above, shows that Oregon experienced the least amount of deaths, per 100,000, in the last ten years, in the year 2019, and according to CDC, the number 19, in the name, COVID-19, indicates, that the virus was discovered in 2019, then, the second lowest amount of deaths per 100,000, in the six years, just, stated, above, in Oregon, occurred in 2020,

the height of the, purported, “pandemic.” As a matter of fact, in 2015, there was 5.7% *more* deaths, in Oregon, than in 2019,

Now, 5.7% and 3.86% may not seem like much, however, when Oregon's population is 4,218,000 and 5,870 people have, presumably died, from “Covid-19” in the last two years, amounting to a 0.13916% death rate from “Covid-19,” over two years, that supposedly, supports tyrannical measures, against the well-being of the people, against their will, then it is *immense*.

Here’s a question I’d like to ask. Do any of you consider One Fourteenth of One Percent to be “widespread?” Kate Brown does! This is why laws must be, specifically, and, in particular, defined.

How exactly, by evidence, does a State experience a 3.86% DECREASE in deaths per 100,000, compared to deaths in 2015, in the year 2020, yet, claim that the year, 2020 was the height of the so-called “emergency” “pandemic?”

I'm, still, waiting for that explanation.

There was, and is, no “emergency” occurring in Oregon, except maybe a power-mad communist tyrant violating her Oath of Office, destroying Oregon.

Getting sick, then getting well, is not an “emergency,” it is life, it IS the status quo.

The U.S. Constitution guarantees a “Republican Form of Government”, and, while the U.S. Supreme Court has claimed not to know what that actually means, in particular, we can be assured that it does not mean a power mad, deviant, communist tyrant, ruling by edict.

On December 21, 2021, the tyrant, Kate Brown, has once again extended the “pandemic” “emergency” for the stated purposes of sucking up federal welfare money, flexibility, ruling by edict, to which she claims, that her sole discretion has the full force of law in Oregon. This sounds like the Borg Queen to me. (a Star Trek reference.)

There is nothing within ORS 401.165 or 401.025, which purports to authorize a declaration of “emergency” based on the governor's irrational fears, nor, based on her desire for flexibility, to operate outside the law, nor does it authorize a declaration of “emergency” based on claims that “Oregon prepares for what could be our worst surge in hospitalizations during this pandemic”. “Could be” is not a lawful or even legal justification to strip over four million people of their rights, which is their property, especially given the fact, based on the evidence, that it is a lie.

Your rights are your property and there is a Constitutional provision that when property is seized by the government that it must justly compensate its victims.

When the Kate Brown regime isn't straight out lying it is pushing half truths in an effort to mislead, for example there is this claim: "Oregon’s safety requirements in place today regarding masks, vaccinations for K-12 educators and staff, and vaccinations for health care workers do not rely on the state of emergency

declaration—instead, they are covered by agency administrative rules issued under existing state authority."

If you work for the State, then yes, you are obligated to follow their dress code, however, if you do not, they have no jurisdiction to enforce it upon those who are not their employees, nor do they have jurisdiction to enforce it on those who are *not* exercising some government regulated privilege.

Oregon Occupational Safety and Health only has jurisdiction upon, certain, employers, NOT, employees, and, certainly, NOT, the general population, in the capacity of customers. Oregon Occupational Safety and Health, cannot, bestow, upon businesses, which, they regulate, a jurisdiction or authority, to enforce rules, that, they, *themselves*, do not possess.

The recent US Supreme Court ruling negating the mandates enforced though OSHA upon everyone EXCEPT those health care workers receiving federal medicare and medicaid money demonstrates the concept.

An "emergency" declarations purpose, according to 401.032, Statement of policy and purpose states, "(1) The general purpose of this chapter is to reduce the vulnerability of the State of Oregon to loss of life, injury to persons or property and human suffering and financial loss resulting from emergencies, and to provide for recovery and relief assistance for the victims of emergencies."

This non-existent “emergency” has been perpetrated by Kate Brown for nearly two years, now. The stated purpose of an “emergency” is to reduce vulnerability and to provide for recovery.

Kate Brown and the State of Oregon are, instead, promoting fear, discrimination, segregation, and financial uncertainty. In other words, Kate Brown and the State of Oregon have destabilized Oregon and made it *more vulnerable* by their violations.

None of Kate Brown's and the State of Oregon's measures are designed to successfully conclude the “emergency” with recovery, but instead, to create an atmosphere where she can continuously, unlawfully extend, an already, vague and ambiguous void statute.

If you are thinking this could all be a big mistake, that Kate Brown or others didn't know, or, that she, and they, meant well, etcetera, you are wrong. They know, and knew, long ago, *exactly*, what they were going to do, and they KNEW, and INTENDED, to violate their Oaths and, to enforce unconstitutional dictates upon you.

ORS 30.265(6)(f), concerning Actions and Suits in Particular Cases - Scope of liability of public body, officers, employees and agents, states, “Any claim arising out of an act done or omitted under apparent authority of a law, resolution, rule or regulation that is unconstitutional, invalid or inapplicable except to the extent that they would have been liable had the law, resolution, rule or regulation been

constitutional, valid and applicable, unless such act was done or omitted in bad faith or with malice.”

“Apparent authority of a law” is synonymous with “color of law.”

42 USC Sec. 1983 trumps ORS 30.165 through the Fourteenth Amendment. 42 USC Sec. 1983 states, in part, “Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia, subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States or other person within the jurisdiction thereof to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured in an action at law, suit in equity, or other proper proceeding for redress...”

As a matter of fact, *ORS 30.265(6)(f)* is, also, in clear and direct violation of Article I, Section 10 of the Oregon Constitution which states in part, “...every man shall have remedy by due course of law for injury done him in his person, property, or reputation.”

To enact such a statute demonstrates the State of Oregon's intention to commit unconstitutional acts, violate their Oaths of Office, strip Oregon's inhabitants of the right of Due Process, Due course of law and create a slave master relationship between the once free people of Oregon and their government and to give themselves immunity for all their unlawful premeditated acts of insurrection.

Insurrection. A rebellion of citizens or subjects of a country against its government.

Remember, when a Citizen becomes an elected official their authority to act only goes to the limits of their Oaths, so, when they violate the provisions of their Oaths, they act in their private Citizen capacity.

Kate Brown stated, “As Oregon prepares for what could be our worst surge in hospitalizations during this pandemic.” Kate Brown is referring to her irrational fear of “Omicron” which indications from South Africa and the United Kingdom signal that the fast-spreading Omicron variant of the corona-virus SARS-CoV-2 is less dangerous than its predecessor Delta.

“Omicron” is LESS dangerous than the “Delta,” yet in responding to the question, what ever happened to “Delta” anyway, regarding the so-called surge of COVID-19 cases and hospitalizations, the Governor, for the most part, did not use her executive authority to issue, *new*, emergency orders.

Clearly, Kate Brown is extending the unlawful “Emergency” by her own, clearly, politically-motivated discretion, based on something, other than, a realistic threat from “Omicron” as she falsely and publicly implied, demonstrating her desire to spread irrational fear, making Oregon more vulnerable.

In England, those who were infected with Omicron, were, about, half as likely to require hospitalization or emergency care, as were those infected with Delta. Michael Diamond, a virologist at Washington University in St. Louis, Missouri, and his colleagues infected hamsters and mice with Omicron and other variants to track

disease progression. The differences were staggering: after a few days, the concentration of virus in the lungs of animals infected with Omicron was at least ten times lower than that in rodents infected with other variants ...

Dr. Sanjay Gupta says that fears raised in late November by the multitude of mutations in Omicron's genome have not borne out. The United Kingdom's Health Minister, Gillian Keegan, was forced to admit, last month, that, only, one person had died from the Omicron Variant, and that none of those hospitalized from it were on ventilators. Forced to admit last month?

You mean Kate Brown knew this before she unlawfully extended the "pandemic" "emergency" non "emergency?"

Kate Brown extending the "emergency" based on her irrational fear of a variant that is shown to be less dangerous than the previous variant, to which she did not use her executive authority to issue new emergency orders, serves to evidence, the arbitrary nature of the statute, purportedly authorizing, the declaration of "emergency" and the immediate danger of allowing such a statute, to continue, and to be capriciously, enforced. Kate Brown, publicly, stated, that the latest extension, through June, is necessary to help Oregon access federal aid and to provide "flexibility."

ORS 401.165 has no provision to declare a Statewide "emergency" for the purpose of making it easier for the State to get money from the federal government nor does it purport to provide authority to declare a Statewide "emergency" for the sake of flexibility.

Kate Brown is acting, unlawfully, outside her Oath of Office, and causing the State of Oregon, under a color of authority, to do the same.

But, but, but, what about all those “Covid-19” cases “exploding” “everywhere” you may ask? Yeah, what about that?

The CDC has stated in its deauthorization of the PCR test, the test Oregon has relied on to sport its so-called, exploding, Covid “infections,” that the PCR test could not distinguish between a common cold infection, a normal yearly flu infection, and the, so-called, Covid-19 infection. There is, also, the fact, that the PCR test can be adjusted, using a certain number of cycles, to produce more positive cases of Covid-19 or less positive cases of Covid-19, at the discretion of the lab and their owners.

This brings into serious question, the accuracy and honesty, of the infection-rate statistics, and, therefore, into question, whether there was, ever, a pandemic, at all, in Oregon.

Getting sick, then, getting well, may be a personal hardship, but it is not a Statewide “emergency,” it IS the status quo. Oregon has not experienced an “emergency” and is, not, experiencing an “emergency”, now.

How can I, legitimately, say, there is, NOT, and has, NOT, been, an “emergency” within the State of Oregon?

The numbers concerning “infection” rates are suspect, as the test that created them has been deauthorized, and exposed as a misused medical device that was never designed as a diagnostic tool. The CDC states that 75% of those who have died from Covid-19

have four or more comorbidities, meaning, it is only a small, and identifiable, already sick group, in danger of dying from “Covid-19”.

The “infection rate data”, used by Oregon, to perpetrate fear, is produced, primarily, if, not, exclusively, by the, now, de-authorized PCR test.

“The PCR test amplifies genetic matter from the virus in cycles; the fewer cycles required, the greater the amount of virus, or viral load, in the sample. The greater the viral load, the, more, likely, the patient, is, to be, contagious.

This number of amplification cycles needed to find the virus, called the cycle threshold, is, NEVER, included in the results sent to doctors or corona-virus patients, even though it could tell them how infectious the patients are.

Of course, this data is not disclosed, because, if it *were* disclosed, people may complain, if they realize they don't have a viral load, large, enough, to be communicable, yet, they're, wrongly, forced, into isolation, for quarantine, and, in the, not so distant future, will most likely, be forced into isolation / quarantine / internment / concentration camps, referred to as “Covid-19 containment camps,” “green zones,” “quarantine sites,” “quarantine camps,” and “shielding” camps. I, highly, suggest, that you do internet searches for all of these terms, including the terms “Covid” and “coronavirus”, “strike force”, “involuntarily detain,” “Washington” “bill”, meaning, legislation, and “vaccine.”

In three sets of testing data that include cycle thresholds, compiled by officials in Massachusetts, New York and Nevada, up to 90 percent of people testing positive carried barely any virus, a review by The New York Times found.

“On Thursday, the United States recorded 45,604 new corona-virus cases, according to a database maintained by The Times. If the rates of contagiousness in Massachusetts and New York were to apply nationwide, then perhaps only 4,500 of those people may actually need to isolate and submit to contact tracing.” *New York Times, Apoorva Mandavilli, July 3, 2021.*

“One solution would be to adjust the cycle threshold, used now, to decide that a patient is infected. Most tests set the limit at 40, a few at 37. This means that you are positive for the corona-virus if the test process required up to 40 cycles, or 37, to detect the virus. Tests with thresholds so high may detect not just live virus but also genetic fragments, leftovers from infection that pose no particular risk.” *Dr. Michael Mina, epidemiologist at the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health*

Epidemiologist, HA! The tyrant Borg Queen knows best. In the same New York Times article above it states, “The Food and Drug Administration said in an emailed statement that it does not specify the cycle threshold ranges used to determine who is positive, and that 'commercial manufacturers and laboratories set their own'.”

“It’s just kind of mind-blowing to me that people are not recording the C.T. values from all these tests — that they’re just returning a positive or a negative,” *Angela Rasmussen, virologist at Columbia University in New York.*

Virologist, HA! The tyrant Borg Queen knows best.

The evidence clearly suggests that the PCR tests, the tests Oregon has relied upon to create its “Covid-19” infection-rate statistics, is designed to produce the highest number of positive results, and, it cant tell the difference between cold, flu and “Covid-19”, the cycle counts are undisclosed and commercial for-profit firms, not Oregon Health Authorities, are in control of the results. “Mind blowing” indeed.

The evidence clearly demonstrates the irrationality, perhaps mental illness or demon possession, of fearing “Omicron” or “Covid-19,” unless of course you have chronic illness already, then you should take care to protect yourself.

The evidence demonstrates that there is no physical or literal “pandemic” “emergency” occurring within the State of Oregon as less people have died within Oregon during the purported “pandemic” “emergency” than died in any of the previous ten years, the PCR tests produce positive results from genetic fragments, leftovers from infection that pose no particular risk at a rate of 90%, the cycle counts are not disclosed and the results are controlled by corporations motivated only by profit. What could go wrong.

"That act was therefore as inoperative as if it had never been passed, for an unconstitutional act is not a law, and can neither confer a right or immunity nor operate to supersede any existing valid law." *Chicago, Indianmpolia & Louisville Ry. v. Hackett, (1912) 227 U. S. 559, S. Ct., 57 L. Ed. 966.*

"An act which violates the Constitution has no power and can, of course, neither build up or tear down. It can neither create new rights nor destroy existing ones. It is an empty legislative declaration without force or vitality." *Carr v. State 127 Ind. 204, 26 N. E. 778; 11 L. R. A. 370 (1890)*

When the statute falls the defendant officers are left "standing naked, as individuals clothed with no power emanating from the state, and thus viewed and considered alone as individuals assuming to act under the guise of law where no law exists; . . . "

Kans. Natural Gas Co. v. Haskell, (1909) 172 Fed. 555.

It follows in a most satisfactorily logical manner that the person threatening irreparable damage may be restrained in his private capacity.

We have been, and too many of you are still being, conned right out of your and your children's future by a despicable lawless tyrant, the question persists, what are yougoing to do about it?

